1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

2425

2627

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ISRAEL ESPINOZA,

Defendant.

Case No. CR24-177RSM

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL

The court has considered the unopposed motion by the defendant to continue the trial date and pretrial motions deadline and finds that:

- a. taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective presentation due to counsel's need for more time to review the evidence, consider possible defenses, and gather evidence material to the defense, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv);
- b. a failure to grant such a continuance in this proceeding would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(B)(I);
- c. the additional time requested is a reasonable period of delay, as the defendant has requested more time to prepare for trial, to investigate the matter, to gather evidence material to the defense, and to consider possible defenses;

d. the ends of justice will best be served by a continuance, and the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in any speedier trial, as set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A);

e. the additional time requested between the current trial date of December 16, 2024, and the new trial date is necessary to provide counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial, considering counsel's schedule and all of the facts set forth above; and

f. that the period of delay from the date of this order to the new trial date is excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date in this matter shall be continued to June 16, 2025, and that the pretrial motions shall be filed no later than May 5, 2025.

DATED this 18th day of November, 2024.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE